

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office . Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/512,336	02/24/2000	Seiichi Fukuda	SON-1745	5387
7	7590 02/14/2003			
Ronald P Kananen			EXAMINER	
Rader Fishman & Grauer The Lion Building			CHEN, KIN CHAN	
1233 20th Street N W Suite 501 Washington, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,, usinington, 2			1765	21
		DATE MAILED: 02/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\sim				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/512,336	FUKUDA, SEIICHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Kin-Chan Chen	1765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespond nce address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ja</u>	<u>anuary 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under EDisposition of Claims	nce except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1 and 4-6 is/are pending in the application	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	•	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	iminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rece	eived.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/512,336

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification.

In claim 1, lines 2-3, "dry-etching a formed film of tungsten in its entirety as originally formed" is new matter.

In claim 4, "in its entirety" (two occurrences) is new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ha et al. (US 6,146,542) in view of Cathey, Jr (US 5,024,722) or Hattori et al. (US 5,846,886; hereinafter "Hattori").

In a dry etching method, Ha teaches that tungsten film in its entirety as originally formed may be dry etched with mixed gas containing fluorine gas, chlorine or hydrogen bromide, oxygen and nitrogen (col. 3, lines 34-65; Fig. 4A-4B).

Ha does not explicitly state that the gas containing fluorine gas may include a compound having fluorine and carbon in a molecule. In a method of etching a tungsten layer, Cathey, Jr. (col.6, lines 50-54) or Hattori (col. 1, lines 49-50; col. 2, lines 55-60) teaches that fluorine containing gas may be CF₄, NF₃, or SF₆. It would be obvious to use CF₄ to etch tungsten rather than using NF₃, or SF₆ in Ha's process because Cathey, Jr. (col.6, lines 50-54) or Hattori teaches the equivalence among these etchants for etching tungsten. The substitution of one for the other would have expected to provide an expected result.

4. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ha in view of Cathey, Jr or Hattori as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yan et al. (US 6,296,780 B1; hereinafter "Yan").

The discussion of the combined references of Ha, and Cathey, Jr or Hattori from above is repeated here.

In a method of fabricating a semiconductor device, Ha teaches laminating upwards a polycrystal silicon film or an amorphous silicon film, a tungsten nitride film or a titanium nitride film and a tungsten film on a silicon substrate (Col.3, lines 30-39). The tungsten nitride or the titanium nitride and the tungsten film may be dry etched with mixed gas containing fluorine-containing gas and chlorine or hydrogen bromide, oxygen and nitrogen (col. 3, lines 40-64; col.4, lines 1-3; Fig. 4A-4B).

Ha does not explicitly state that for etching titanium nitride film, the gas containing fluorine gas may include a compound having fluorine and carbon in a

molecule. In a method for etching titanium nitride film, Yan teaches using a gas comprising fluorine-containing gas that includes a compound having fluorine and carbon in a molecule (col. 4, lines 6-22) Yan teaches that to do so to reach effective etching and has good CD control (col. 3, lines 30-32). Hence, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to use the compound having fluorine and carbon in a molecule as taught by Yan in the composition of the etchant of modified Ha, and Cathey, Jr or Hattori for etching titanium nitride film and tungsten film in order to reach effective etching and has good CD control.

Ha is not particular about the semiconductor device being fabricated in the dry etching method, therefore, a conventional feature of a semiconductor device such as gate electrode may be formed using a mask of silicon oxide or silicon nitride because it is conventional in the art of semiconductor device fabrication. It is noted that applicant did not traverse the aforementioned conventionality of features, which have been stated in the office action in Paper No. 4.

As to claim 5, Ha teaches that the polycrystal silicon film or the amorphous silicon film may be etched with gas, which does not contain fluorine (col.4, lines 25-26).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 4-6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/512,336

Art Unit: 1765

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kin-Chan Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0222. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Utech can be reached on (703) 308-3836. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final

communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this

application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone

number is (703) 308-2934.

K-C C

February 3, 2003

Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 1765

Page 5